

Oxnard Union High School District
Measure H Bond Oversight Committee
Minutes of the Meeting

Date: April 7, 2014

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Place: Hueneme Room
220 South K Street
Oxnard, California 93030

Members Present	Members Absent	Staff Present	Guests
Fred Ferro Bill Belcher Nancy Lindholm Nancy Ambriz Sol Chooljian (5:50pm)	James Martinez	Steve Dickinson	None

1. CALL TO ORDER – Chair Fred Ferro called meeting to order at 5:40 p.m.
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Mr. Ferro led the flag salute.
3. ADOPTION OF AGENDA – Ms. Lindholm moved to adopt the agenda, seconded by Ms. Ambriz. Motion carried 4-0. Mr. Dickinson volunteered to record the minutes of the meeting.
4. ADOPTION OF MEETING MINUTES – Ms. Lindholm moved to approve the minutes of February 18, 2014, seconded by Ms. Ambriz. Motion carried 4-0.
5. PUBLIC COMMENT – There was no public comment.
6. STATUS REPORTS:
 - a. Monthly Budget Update – Mr. Dickinson handed out a new regular monthly budget update and the overall Measure H summary report. The most recent changes on the monthly report are an updated total budget amount of \$72.8 million for the Rancho Campana High School (RCHS) project. The Committee also discussed the District’s possible use of Measure H funds for facility improvements such as the tracks.
 - b. New School Update and PLA Status – Mr. Dickinson reported that the OUHSD Board held the RCHS groundbreaking in March. Since that time earthwork and utilities work has been underway.
 - c. Update on Oxnard #8 Alternate Site Selection – Mr. Dickinson reported that the OUHSD Board has formally dropped the Cooluris site from the list of possible sites.

- d. Vacant Committee Member Recruitment – Additional Committee members are being pursued for the three current vacancies.
 - e. Two Current Members Term Expiring April 2014 – Nancy Ambriz and James Martinez have agreed to continue their service on the Committee. The CBOC will recommend their re-appointment to the OUHSD Board.
7. FUTURE MEETINGS – The next meeting was set for Monday, June 9, 2014 at 5:30 p.m.
8. AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT MEETING – Several items were discussed:
- a. Regular monthly budget update
 - b. Updates on two new school projects
 - c. Update on Oxnard #8 Alternative Site Selection
 - d. Update on the State budget
 - e. Vacant Committee member recruitment
 - f. Committee member terms
9. ADJOURN – The meeting was adjourned at 6:50 p.m.

Oxnard Union High School District
 Analysis of 2004 Measure H
 as of June 9, 2014
 DRAFT

Funds Available	135,000,000
Sale of Series A	(50,000,000)
Sale of Series B	(4,052,103)
Sale of Series C	(50,000,000)
Funds Remaining	<u>30,947,897</u>
Proceeds from Sale of Series A	50,000,000
Repayment of BANS	(12,280,000)
BANS Funds Available	611,528
Erate Reimbursement	6,321,094
Proceeds from Sale of Series B	4,052,103
Costs of Issuance, Series B	(81,042)
Proceeds from Sale of Series C	50,000,000
Net Funds Available	<u>98,613,683</u>
Interest Income from Cash in Bank - Series A & C	727,314
Interest Income from Cash in Bank - Series B	22,359
Total Interest Income from Cash in Bank	<u>749,673</u>
Net Funds Available plus Interest Income	<u>99,363,356</u>

Series	Project	Loc	Total Budget	2004 Measure H			Status
				Budget	Expenses	Encumbered	
A	Solar Field	OHS 0114	5,679,143	(3,097,072)	3,097,071.07	-	System online DSA closeout
A	Solar Field	ACHS 0137	2,489,789	(142,248)	142,247.46	-	Completion November 2012
A	Solar Field	HHS 0134	3,499,102	(204,179)	204,178.57	-	Completion October 2012
A	Solar Field	RMHS 0113	5,561,763	(3,166,424)	3,166,423.06	-	System online DSA closeout
A	Solar Field	CHS 0136	3,183,154	-	-	-	\$319,611 reduction in cost. Measure H funds not needed.
A	Solar Field	PHS 0135	5,431,849	(327,073)	327,072.43	-	Completed. DSA closeout
A	Swimming Pool	ACHS 0115	4,905,059	(4,923,772)	4,338,091.16	585,680.47	Costs to date for architectural & construction services.
A	Swimming Pool	HHS 0129	4,850,073	(4,836,864)	4,730,822.94	106,041.00	Costs to date for architectural & construction services.
A	New Camarillo High School	Cam Grth 0111 &	72,800,000	(72,800,000)	7,789,636.26	59,137,966.13	Construction contract awarded.
A	New Oxnard High School	Oxn Grth	100,000,000				
A	Erate (Multiple Locations)	ACHS 0105	129,170	(9,054,358)	9,051,210.79	3,146.27	Approx. \$6.7M to be reimbursed. Approx. \$2.3 M from Measure H
A	Greenhouse	HHS 0406	305,403	(127)	73,925.32	-	Project completed in Sept 2010
A	Visitor's Football Bleachers	RMHS 0112	656,401	(377,780)	377,779.05	-	Project completed in August 2010
A	Quad & Lunch Area Improvement	HHS 0128	35,068	(11,978)	11,978.00	-	Project completed in Sept 2010
A	Replace home side bleachers						This project is complete, design only.
B	Expansion of the Woodshop	OHS 0101	915,365	(451,531)	451,530.90	-	Awaiting DSA completion certification
B	Hospitality, Tourism, and Recreation	OHS 0108	1,521,199	(1,052,296)	1,052,295.54	-	Awaiting DSA completion certification
B	Expand & Increase Size of the Auto Shop	CHS 0106	3,747,627	(2,269,090)	2,269,089.80	-	Completion June 2012 pending DSA approval
B	Hospitality, Tourism, and Recreation	PHS 0109	333,004	(220,504)	220,503.53	-	Project completed in June 2011
				(3,993,421)	3,993,419.77	-	
				(103,009,222)	37,303,981.97	59,832,833.87	
							93,143,336.07
							451,530.90
							1,052,295.54
							2,269,089.80
							220,503.53
							3,993,419.77
							97,136,815.84

Encumbrance: Obligations in the form of purchase orders, contracts, salaries, and other commitments chargeable to an appropriation for which a part of the appropriation is reserved.



Action Item

TO: Board of Trustees and Superintendent

PRESENTED BY: Steve Dickinson, Assistant Superintendent – Administrative Services

BOARD AGENDA ITEM: Consideration of Use of Measure H Funds for Facilities Projects

BOARD MEETING DATE: May 28, 2014

BACKGROUND

Each year District Administration brings a list of potential projects to be completed in the coming year. Each year the list is prioritized and approved by the Board. The projects are limited to the amount of funding available through normal General Fund budgets.

ADMINISTRATIVE DISCUSSION

Several of the projects would qualify for Measure H funding. In addition, the new Local Control Accountability Plan includes the requirement that school facilities be in “good repair.” The topic of using Measure H funds for some projects has been discussed and it was requested by the Board that it be presented for consideration.

<u>Project</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
Subflooring at CIHS Rooms #95-#98	\$75,000
Re-Roofing of Portables @ \$9,000/each	\$180,000
Four Stadium Tracks @ \$350,000/each max	\$1,400,000 (OHS, PHS, ACHS and HHS)
HHS Home Bleachers	\$500,000
PHS Exterior Waterproofing (In-House)	\$250,000
Refurbish OHS Performing Arts Center	\$60,000
FHS Wifi	<u>\$140,000</u>
Estimated Total	\$2,605,000

FISCAL IMPLICATION

Use of Measure H funds.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the recommendation of District Administration that the Board of Trustees approve Measure H funding for necessary district-wide repairs, as presented.

The FISCAL REPORT *an informational update*

Copyright © 2014 School Services of California, Inc.

Volume 34

For Publication Date: June 13, 2014

No. 12

Guest Article: A State School Bond: Issues, Polling, and Need

[Editor's Note: Joe Dixon is the Assistant Superintendent of Facilities and Governmental Relations for the Santa Ana Unified School District. In his capacity as chair of the Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), Mr. Dixon leads the effort for statewide bonds and reform of the state school facilities program. We strongly support C.A.S.H.'s efforts as the state's leading advocate for school facilities.]

When the May Revision was released on May 13th it was silent on school facilities. Many of my colleagues and C.A.S.H. members have asked me what this means for a school bond in 2014, so I wanted to provide an update on the status of advocacy efforts to get a school bond on the ballot in November.

The Governor's January 2014 Budget Message and School Facilities

Concerning school facilities, in his January budget Governor Brown proposed to, "...continue a dialogue on the future of school facilities funding, including consideration of what role, if any, the state should play in the future of school facilities funding..." The Governor also identified specific issues that are, "...inherent in the current program and must be addressed," including unsustainable reliance on state debt issuance, an overly-complex state program, the enrollment projection and first-come/first-serve application approach, and the lack of design flexibility for classrooms. Specifically, the Governor proposed to, "Transfer \$211 million to remaining School Facility Program bond authority from specialized programs to the core new construction (\$105.5) and modernization (\$105.5) programs to continue construction of new classrooms and modernization of existing classrooms for districts that have been awaiting funding."

Positive Polling for 2014 Bond

C.A.S.H. and the California Building Industry Association (CBIA) conducted polling in February to measure public support for a school bond; the results are positive. Specifically, the survey results indicate that 54% would vote "definitely" or "probably" yes on a school bond with an additional 8% leaning towards yes. By any measure, these numbers indicate high levels of public support. In addition, the survey shows that voters believe that bond funds should be directed towards vocational/career education programs, repairing classrooms/science labs, and upgrading technology. Further, the poll confirms that the high propensity voter does not believe that school needs have been satisfied as a result of Proposition 30, the November 2012 tax increase proposition that was to save the public schools of California.

Current Status of School Bond Legislation

AB 2235 (Buchanan/Hagman), which is the legislative vehicle for a school bond this year, has been approved by the Assembly policy and fiscal committees with support from Democrats and Republicans, and now moves on to the Senate.

Advocacy in Support of a State School Bond

C.A.S.H. has conducted polling in 2010, 2011, 2012 and again in 2014 to continually gauge public opinion about school repair and construction needs. We have used this information to inform and educate state legislative staff, state department leaders, the staff of Governor Brown and his predecessor, as well as in meetings with school district and county office personnel throughout the state. In late 2013 we met with key school bond coalition partners, and with them we commenced a new series of meetings with members of the Administration, the Legislature and key state agencies to raise awareness about the need for a school bond. C.A.S.H. has also provided formal responses to the State Allocation Board's Program Review Subcommittee's comprehensive review of the School Facility Program, as well as to the Administration's request as how to reduce complexity in the state oversight and approval process. C.A.S.H. has solicited and received 88 letters in support of a school bond from school districts and their business partners. In early May we began the process of soliciting contributions to fund a campaign for a school bond.

Educating the Public via Media and Technology

Recognizing the diversity of the state and the often confusing details of the school infrastructure and bond programs C.A.S.H. has created a video focused on the need to fund capital construction projects with the state as major partner. The video is intended to be used by public broadcast media resources including those accessible within school districts. Our polling since 2010 has found continuous support for a state bond as a match for local bond funds to construct and repair schools. Our video offers detailed information to the public and to elected officials, including the Governor, that without a significant presence of state bond funds the much heralded Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) will not yield the results intended. The video conveys clearly that reform and positive change in public education cannot happen statewide in Have and Have Not districts without the K-12 infrastructure in place to support it.

The Common Messages of C.A.S.H. and Other Stakeholders

In the broadest sense, the message has been that state bond authority has been exhausted, we have not had a bond since 2006 and a state bond should be placed on the November 2014 to continue to meet accelerating infrastructure needs. Specifically, C.A.S.H. argues that the state's continued participation in school facilities is necessary to ensure basic equity and safety, and that a state bond allows schools to leverage local school bonds to create hundreds of construction jobs in local communities.

Your District, County Office or Business Can Support C.A.S.H. Efforts

We urge you to write a letter to the Governor to encourage him to support a school bond on the November ballot, and convince him of what a bond would mean to your local school district or your business. In addition, C.A.S.H. urges you to make a contribution to support a school bond campaign if a bond is placed on the November ballot.

To assist, following are links for the talking points, pledge form, the pledge levels and benefits for each level when the actual contribution is made, along with a listing of the C.A.S.H. *State School Bond Campaign Finance Committee*. Please feel free to contact any of these people if you have any questions.

- [Talking Points](#)
- [Contribution Form](#)
- [Contribution Levels and Benefits](#)
- [State School Bond Campaign Finance Committee](#)
- [School District Template Letter](#)